

# United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,421	11/27/2001	Kamel Benaissa	TI-30681	9408
23494 7	7590 08/04/2003			
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 6554 DALLAS, TX			DIAZ, JOSE R	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	$\sim$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/994,421	BENAISSA ET	BENAISSA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		José R Díaz	2815					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address				
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.							
after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered ti IIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30							
2a) <u></u>	,	his action is non-fir						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	ion of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>11-14 asnd 19-22</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirer	nent.					
	ion Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examin		ed to by the Everniner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
-	• •	an priority under 35	11.5.C. & 119(a) <sub>-</sub> (d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
a)	, ,	ste have been recei	ived					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri							
* 5	application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	nai Ctago				
14)⊠ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	onal application).				
	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language processes</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>							
Attachmen								
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					
IS Patent and T	Frademark Office							

Art Unit: 2815

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

➤ Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Thus, claims 1-10 and 15-18 are withdrawn from consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

➤ The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- > Claims 11-14, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tarabbia et al. (US Pat. No. 6,351,020 B1).

Regarding claim 11, Tarabbia et al. teaches a method of forming a semiconductor device comprising the step of: providing a semiconductor substrate (199) having first and second isolation region (consider the STI regions, not labeled, that are at each end side of the well 204 in Fig. 2); forming a well region (204) between the first and second isolation region (see Fig. 2); forming a contact isolation structure (202) in said well (consider the STI regions labeled as 202, which are beneath the gate 206 in Figure 2); forming a gate dielectric layer (205) on said well and said contact isolation region (see Fig. 2); forming a gate layer (206) on said dielectric layer such that said gate

Art Unit: 2815

layer overlies said contact isolation region (see Fig. 2); and forming electrical contacts to said gate conductive layer (see abstract).

Regarding claim 12, Tarabbia et al. teaches that said first and second isolation regions comprise STI structures (consider the STI regions, not labeled, that are at each end side of the well 204 in Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 13, Tarabbia et al. teaches that said contact isolation structure comprises STI structure(consider the STI regions labeled as 202, which are beneath the gate 206 in Figure 2).

Regarding claims 14 and 19, Tarabbia et al. further teaches forming well contact region (208) adjacent to said first and second isolation regions (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 21, Tarabbia et al. further teaches that the well (204) is a n-type (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 22, Tarabbia et al. further teaches that the invention is restricted to n-type well (see col. 6, lines 33-48).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- > The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 2815

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

➤ Claims 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tarabbia et al. (US Pat. No. 6,351,020 B1) in view of Applicant's Specification.

Regarding claim 20, Tarabbia et al. fails to teach the further step of forming sidewall structures adjacent to said gate layer. However, Applicant acknowledges that it is well known in the art to use sidewall structures for implanting source and drain extension regions (see page 6 and page7, lines 1-2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to modify Tarabbia et al. to include sidewall structure adjacent to the gate layer. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Tarabbia et al. in the manner described above for at least the purpose of providing a mask during the implantation of the well contact regions.

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6078. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 746-3891 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JRD July 26, 2003

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800